Community Choice Aggregation & Solar Development
Agenda

• Introduction to SolSmart — Danny Falk, The Solar Foundation
• Overview of Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) — Megan Lynch & Ben Butterworth, Cadmus
• Case Study: Marin Clean Energy (CA) — Jenna Famular, Marin Clean Energy (CA)
• Case Study: Cambridge Community Electricity Program (MA) — Meghan Shaw, Cambridge Community Electricity Program (MA)
• Q&A
About SolSmart

Funding
• U.S. Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office

Goal
• Help local governments make it faster, easier, and more affordable for residents and businesses to go solar

Participants
• U.S. municipalities, counties, and regional organizations

Designation
• SolSmart nationally recognizes local solar achievements by designating communities as SolSmart Gold, Silver, or Bronze

Technical Assistance
• Provided at no cost to help communities achieve designation
Program Design and Execution

Technical Assistance Program

Designation Program Administrator

www.solsmart.org
SolSmart Categories

The SolSmart scorecard is used to baseline a community’s current solar processes and identify areas for technical assistance in the following 8 categories:

• Permitting
• Planning, Zoning, & Development
• Inspection
• Construction Codes
• Solar Rights
• Utility Engagement
• Community Engagement
• Market Development and Finance
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What is Community Choice Aggregation?

- Community choice aggregation (CCA) enables a local government (or multiple local governments) to pool the community electricity load and **procure electricity on behalf of residents and businesses within the community**.

CCA is also commonly referred to as:
- Municipal aggregation
- Community Choice Energy
- Local energy aggregation

Source: LEAN Energy
What is Community Choice Aggregation | Benefits and Challenges

• Local governments interested in establishing a community choice aggregation may face the following benefits and challenges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Benefits</th>
<th>Key Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competitive and stable rates</td>
<td>Utility rate uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner energy supply</td>
<td>“Additionality” concerns (depending on market context)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased local control over energy supply</td>
<td>Negative public response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic benefits</td>
<td>Potential administrative costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enabling CCAs | Overview

As of 2020, CCAs have been authorized via state-level enabling legislation in nine states and are being investigated in an additional five states, as outlined below:

**Authorized**
- CA
- IL
- MA
- NH*
- NJ
- NY
- OH
- RI
- VA*

**Actively Investigating**
- AZ
- CO
- CT
- MD
- OR

*Not yet implemented
Enabling CCAs | Electricity Market Context

• The structure and role of a CCA will largely depend on whether it is operating in a **regulated** or **deregulated** market context

• Utilities in **regulated** markets maintain jurisdiction over all grid functions, including generation, transmission, and distribution

• In a fully regulated market, the utility is a customer’s only option for purchasing electricity
Enabling CCAs | Electricity Market Context

- The structure and role of a CCA will largely depend on whether it is operating in a regulated or deregulated market context.

- In deregulated electricity markets, utilities are not permitted to own and operate power plants that generate electricity.

- Retail customers are free to purchase electricity from a competitive supplier and the utility continues to provide transmission and distribution services.
Enabling CCAs | Electricity Market Context

• CCAs are more common in states with deregulated markets since utilities have already divested ownership in generation activities, and their role as a transmission and distribution company is well established.

• Currently, almost all states with CCA enabling legislation have deregulated electricity markets, with the exception of Virginia and California.
Enabling CCAs | Electricity Market Context

• CCAs are more common in states with deregulated markets since utilities have already divested ownership in generation activities, and their role as a transmission and distribution company is well established.

• Currently, almost all states with CCA enabling legislation have deregulated electricity markets, with the exception of Virginia and California.
Establishing a CCA

- Local governments are critical to implementing community choice aggregation programs once enabling legislation is passed.
- While the process may vary from state to state, generally a local jurisdiction will take some or all of the following steps:

Adapted from MAPC, Start a Community Choice Aggregation Program
CCA Program Models

• CCA program models may vary in a number of ways. Some common points of differentiation include:

Geographic Territory
Enrollment Options
Product Offerings
RE Procurement Strategy
• The geographic territory of CCAs across the U.S. vary in size from a single municipality to multi-county territories

In California, MCE serves 34 communities in 4 Bay Area counties

In Massachusetts, there are over a 100 individual CCAs that serve single communities
CCA Program Models | Enrollment Options

- Participation in a CCA is always voluntary, but depending on state statute, enrollment may occur on an opt-in or opt-out basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opt-Out</th>
<th>Opt-In</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Customers are automatically enrolled and given the opportunity to opt-out</td>
<td>• Places the responsibility for enrollment on the customer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Often result in lower participation rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Less common</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CCA programs will often provide customers with several product offerings that range in renewable energy content and price. In some cases, the CCA product will be cleaner and possibly cheaper than the local utility’s offering. For example, Somerville CCE offers a standard product with 10% more RE than the Massachusetts RPS at a lower cost than the local utility (Eversource).
CCA Program Models | RE Procurement Strategy

• Depending on market context and local priorities, CCAs may procure RE for their customers through bundled or unbundled renewable energy certificates (RECs)
CCA Program Models | RE Procurement Strategy

• Depending on market context and local priorities, CCAs may procure RE for their customers through bundled or unbundled renewable energy certificates (RECs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unbundled RECs</th>
<th>Bundled RECs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• RECs that are sold, delivered, or purchased separately from physical electricity.</td>
<td>• RECs that are sold together with the physical electricity generated for a specific RE project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unbundled RECs can be sourced from across the U.S. and are relatively low-cost to procure.</td>
<td>• Typically procured through PPAs or VPPAs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Often criticized for capitalizing on the presence of existing RE projects and not driving the development of new RE projects.</td>
<td>• Drive development of new RE projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identifying and contracting electricity that is bundled with RECs can be more administratively burdensome, and sometimes more expensive, for CCAs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CCA Program Models | RE Procurement strategy

- Many CCAs outside of California follow a basic program model that relies on purchasing unbundled RECs to provide 100% RE products.

- Over time and with additional resources, CCA programs can advance beyond this program model to better support local renewable energy development and other social goals.
Jenna Famular

Communications Manager,
Marin Clean Energy
MCE – California’s First Community Choice Agency

- **2002** – AB 117 Passed
- **2008** – MCE Formed
- **2010** – Service launched to ~8,000 accounts in Marin County
- **2013** – Expanded to first community outside of Marin
- **2020** – completed most recent enrollment, serving 480,000+ accounts

**Service Options**
- Light Green 60% renewable
- Deep Green 100% renewable
- Local Sol 100% renewable
MCE Impacts

Eliminated over 340K metric tons of GHGs through 2018

MCE customers have saved over $68M since 2010

Committed over $1.6B to build new CA renewable projects

31 MW new renewable projects built in our service area
MCE’s Feed-in Tariff Program

• Standardized, 20-year contracts
• Fixed price per MWh generated
  • Projects 0-1MW
  • 12 conditions, 2 MW in each condition
• Price per MWh steps down $5 as each condition is filled
• Requirements
  • In MCE service area
  • Prevailing wage labor as defined by California Labor Code
  • 50% local hire
  • Storage required on solar projects (new) – 40% of nameplate capacity
  • Rooftop or carport (urban infill) eligible for $5/MWh adder for first 5 years
  • Non-solar non-baseload that meets RPS also eligible for $7/MWh adder
  • Pollinator score above 70 on scorecard, submitted every three years
# FIT Pricing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Peak Energy Prices (per MWh, 20-year term)</th>
<th>Baseload Energy Prices (per MWh, 20-year term)</th>
<th>Intermittent Energy Prices (per MWh, 20-year term)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$137.66</td>
<td>$116.49</td>
<td>$100.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>$95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$115</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>$90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>$85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (0.44 MW available)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (2 MW available)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (2 MW available)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (2 MW available)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIT Plus

- Standardized, 20-year contracts
- Fixed price per MWh generated
  - Projects 1-5MW
  - 4 conditions, 2 MW in each condition
- Price per MWh steps down $5 as each condition is filled
- Requirements
  - In MCE service area
  - Prevailing wage labor as defined by California Labor Code
  - 50% local hire (Union Labor in Contra Costa County)
  - Storage required on solar projects (new) – 40% of nameplate capacity, compensated at $10/kW-mo for first ten years
  - Non-solar non-baseload that meets RPS also eligible for $7/MWh adder
  - Pollinator score above 70 on scorecard, submitted every three years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Energy Price (per MWh, 20-year term)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$65 (2 MW available)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MCE’s Operational Local Renewable Energy Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Rafael Airport</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>1 MW</td>
<td>rooftop solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Buck Institute</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>1 MW</td>
<td>carport solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cost Plus Plaza</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>0.265 MW</td>
<td>rooftop solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Freeth Industrial Park</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>2 MW</td>
<td>ground mount solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cooley Quarry (Local Sol)</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>1 MW</td>
<td>ground mount solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Redwood Landfill</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>3.6 MW</td>
<td>bioenergy plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MCE Solar One</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>10.5 MW</td>
<td>ground mount solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oakley RV Boat Storage</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>1 MW</td>
<td>carport solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>EO Products</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>0.06 MW</td>
<td>rooftop solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>MCE Solar Charge</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>0.08 MW</td>
<td>carport solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CMSA</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>0.75 MW</td>
<td>bioenergy plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>American Canyon Solar</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>3 MW</td>
<td>ground mount solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Silviera Ranch</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>3 MW</td>
<td>ground mount solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sosccol Ferry</td>
<td>FIT</td>
<td>2 MW</td>
<td>ground mount solar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
San Rafael Airport – 1MW Rooftop Solar

- San Rafael, 2012
- 20 jobs supported
- Workforce Partners: Marin City Community Development Corp & CLP Resources
- Project Partners: JHS Properties, Synapse Electric
Freethy Industrial Park – 2MW Ground Mount Solar

- Richmond, 2017
- 26 jobs supported
- Workforce Partners: RichmondBUILD
- Powering 600 homes and producing $550,000 annually
Cooley Quarry (Local Sol) – 1MW Ground Mount Solar

- Novato, 2017
- 17 jobs supported
- Partners: REP Energy and Danlin Solar
- 100% local solar service available for ~300 customers
- 11.5 acre brownfield site
MCE’s Local Sol Program

• Project built through FIT program
• Offers customers the chance to directly support a local project
  • No equipment required to have 100% RE
• $137/MWh original project price
• Customers pay 14.2¢/kWh compared to 8.7¢ for Light Green and 9.7¢ for Deep Green
  • 13.7¢ for power
  • 0.5¢ for administrative costs
• Approximately 200 customers enrolled
  • Limited access for non-residential accounts
• Ability to expand program as necessary
Meghan Shaw

Outreach Director –
Cambridge Energy Alliance,
City of Cambridge,
Massachusetts
Renewable Energy Goals and Criteria

Guiding municipal investments in renewable energy projects
OR Why the City of Cambridge does not buy RECs
Cross – Department Matrix

• Hired a facilitator to explore renewable energy values, goals and priorities for the City
• Hosted 2 three-hour in-person workshops with multiple departments
• Formalized a decision-making matrix for renewable energy purchases that can be utilized by the City for a request for qualifications or request for proposals
Facilitated in-person meetings allowed for brainstorming and buy-in across departments including City Manager, Law, Purchasing, Public Works, and Community Development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RE Purchasing Goals</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Financial</th>
<th>Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Displaces fossil fuels</td>
<td>Positive effect a RE purchase has on the amount of renewable energy generation capacity overall, including the role the purchase has in the creation of additional new projects</td>
<td>Positive effect a RE purchase has on the environment, including the reduction of GHG emissions and air pollution</td>
<td>The purchase results in the city or residents saving money on electricity bills over the term of the agreement or other financial benefits for the city</td>
<td>Purchases leads to a more equitable distribution of benefits and harms and/or there is inclusive participation in the purchase decision-making by low-income and minority residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Financially makes 2020 and 2050 NZE goals possible</td>
<td>If RE Purchase can be proven to be replacing fossil fuel generation capacity (either existing or planned generation capacity).</td>
<td>If RE Purchase can be proven to be replacing demand for fossil fuel generation capacity (either existing or planned generation capacity).</td>
<td>If The cost of energy over the course of some time frame is consistently lower than the cost of fossil fuels, leading to growth in demand for non-fossil fuel energy sources.</td>
<td>Meeting equity goals may allow for leveraging additional funding sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Positively impacts environmental and human health</td>
<td>Additional RE capacity leads to greater associated enviro. Benefits</td>
<td>self-evident</td>
<td>If savings re-invested into additional GHG saving measures for buildings</td>
<td>could lead to health benefits in neighborhoods where health impacts were worse.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Additionality/Impact:** Additional renewable energy generating capacity is added to the grid

**Long-Term Commitment:** Make a long-term commitment to a renewable energy project

Given our goals and criteria guiding renewable energy investments, the City and our Community Electricity Aggregation does not buy RECs because they do not meet our Additionality/Impact and Long-Term Commitment criteria.

Therefore, get clear on your Aggregation goals before designing your aggregation.
Aggregation participant pays utility bill that includes a $0.002 adder on each kWh of electricity consumed.

Supplier delivers $0.002 adder to City Revolving Fund. Revolving Fund specifically states funds will be used to build renewable energy project for the aggregation participants.

Develops new solar projects with adder funds. Approximately $600,00/year = $1.2 million.

City sells solar net metering credits and re-invests the revenue in the Revolving Fund paying for future new solar projects. Approximately $100,000/year x 20 years = $2 million.

A new solar project that costs approximately $1.2 million ($1.2M) minus money set aside for maintenance, repair and decommissioning. Project produces RECs for 10 years and electricity for 20 years.

Result: Aggregation participants have the solar project’s RECs retired on their behalf. Aggregation participants can claim more environmentally friendly electricity supply via the RECs created via the solar project.

Result: New solar is added to the grid directly reducing GHG emissions, providing local jobs and providing local inspirational value.
Cambridge Community Electricity Program

• **Greener power** – The program offers 100% Green Plus. 100% renewable via optional MA Class I REC purchase. PLUS an operational adder used to construct new local solar projects. This is the opt-up option for consumers who want to buy RECs, but the City does not automatically buy more RECs for our consumers.

• **Choice** – The program will give participants choice in the environmental characteristics and price of their electricity supply. The Basic Green provides no RECs, but does include an operational adder used to construct new local solar projects that reduce the GHG emissions of our Basic Green Customers.

• **Predictability** – The program provides a steady, year-round price without the seasonal volatility of Basic Service prices and has saved consumers over $11 million dollars when compared to Basic Service.

• **Transparency** - The competitive procurement process ensures a vetted, transparent alternative to Basic Service

• **Consumer Protection** – The program gives customers the assurance of a City vetted Electricity supply. Reducing consumer confusion from other competitive supply offers and predatory suppliers.
The Future of CCA

• While CCA has been enabled in nine states so far, a number of states are considering establishing CCA. A few examples are listed below:

Connecticut

In Connecticut, municipal aggregation rising as a clean energy priority

Source: Energy News Network

Michigan

ANN ARBOR, MI — Ann Arbor’s plan to achieve carbon-neutrality by 2030 involves going out to the market and purchasing 100% renewable energy on behalf of all residents, businesses and other entities in the city.

That’s known as community choice aggregation, a type of program permitted in other states, and city officials are hoping to convince state lawmakers to allow it in Michigan.

Source: MLive
Additional Resources

Community Choice Aggregation
Issue Brief
SolSmart
https://solsmart.org/resources/solsmart-issue-brief-community-choice-aggregation/

Community Choice Aggregation:
Challenges, Opportunities, and
Impacts on Renewable Energy
Markets
National Renewable Energy
Laboratory (NREL)
https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy19osti/72195.pdf

Multiple Resources
LEAN Energy
https://leanenergyus.org/

CCA Toolkit
NYSERDA
https://www.nyserda.ny.gov/All-Programs/Programs/Clean-Energy-Communities/Clean-Energy-Communities-Program-High-Impact-Action-Toolkits/Community-Choice-Aggregation
Other Examples of CCAs

Source: Clean Power Exchange
Ben Butterworth
Ben.Butterworth@cadmusgroup.com

Jenna Famular
Jfamular@mcecleanenergy.org

Meghan Shaw
Mshaw@cambrdgema.gov

Danny Falk
Dfalk@solarfound.org

Megan Lynch
Megan.Lynch@cadmusgroup.com
Upcoming Webinar

• August 6 (2-3 pm): How Local and Regional Governments Can Buy Renewable Energy and Support Market Development
Engaging Your Community with SolSmart

- No-cost technical assistance can help your community achieve solar energy goals, including CCAs
- Available to all municipalities, counties, and regional organizations

Contact us for more information at info@solsmart.org.
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